Heimer Media

Review of Economic & Managerial Studies

www.heimer.cn/index.php/rems



Frontiers and Trends of Sustainable Development in the Global Digital Economy

Anna Weber [1]*

1. Hochschule Esslingen, Germany
* Correspondence: weberanna@hs-esslingen.de

Abstract: The rise of the digital economy has reshaped the trajectory of global economic development, introducing unprecedented opportunities for the advancement of sustainable development goals. Yet the proliferation of digital technologies brings with it a series of environmental, social, and governance dilemmas that demand systematic scholarly inquiry. This paper synthesizes the frontiers and trends of sustainable development in the global digital economy by mapping the field's evolutionary phases, identifying leading research themes, evaluating cross-country collaboration patterns, and projecting future research directions. Drawing on a bibliometric foundation covering more than two decades of scholarship, we identify five distinct developmental phases (initial stability, gradual growth, diversification, technological diversification and deep application, and post-pandemic recovery and innovation) and trace the emergence of "digital economy," "digital transformation," and "sustainable development" as the field's core thematic clusters. Environmental governance, green innovation, circular business models, smart cities, blockchain–AI–IoT-enabled supply chains, and equitable digital access emerge as the dominant frontiers. The analysis suggests that the next research wave will be defined by the integration of digital ecosystems with green initiatives and by the institutionalization of frameworks that align digital expansion with global sustainability goals.

Keywords: Digital Economy; Sustainable Development; Green Innovation; Digital Transformation; Carbon Emissions

Citation: Anna Weber (2026). Frontiers and Trends of Sustainable Development in the Global Digital Economy. *Review of Economic & Managerial Studies*,10(2), 11-19.

Academic Editor: Heimer Delgado

Received: Jan 13, 2026
Revised: Feb 08, 2026
Accepted: Feb 28, 2026
Published: Mar 15, 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The notion of the digital economy has a history that stretches back more than a quarter of a century. Don Tapscott's 1996 book, The Digital Economy: Promise and Peril in the Age of Networked Intelligence, introduced the term to a wider readership, and the U.S. Department of Commerce's 1998 report, Emerging Digital Economy, formalized it (Mei et al., 2026). The G20 Initiative on Supporting the Development and Cooperation of Digital Economies subsequently offered the most widely accepted definition, characterizing the digital economy as a spectrum of economic activities that use digitized knowledge and information as primary production factors, advanced information networks as essential carriers, and the effective application of information and communication technology as vital drivers for enhancing efficiency and optimizing economic structure (Mei et al., 2026).

Emerging as the predominant economic paradigm following the agricultural and industrial economies, the digital economy has experienced an unparalleled rate of development and global influence (Mei et al., 2026). It serves not only as an engine for global economic growth but also as a critical lever for policy reform, educational transformation, and the reorganization of globalization. Governments around the world have incorporated the digital economy into their national strategies. The European Union's "Digital Compass 2030: the European Way for the Digital Decade," for example, emphasized the critical significance of digital transformation for global economic recovery (Mei et al., 2026). Germany's "Digital Strategy 2025," launched in 2016, foregrounded the implementation of Industry 4.0 as a core component of the digital manufacturing revolution (Mei et al., 2026). The 2016 G20 Hangzhou Summit introduced the "G20 Digital Economy De-

velopment and Cooperation Initiative," marking the first time the digital economy was incorporated into the agenda of global economic governance (Mei et al., 2026).

Against this backdrop, the question of how to align the digital economy with sustainable development has become one of the defining intellectual and policy challenges of our era. As Bohnsack, Bidmon, and Pinkse noted, research at the intersection of digitalization and sustainability is only beginning, "and the articles in this special issue make important contributions to the debate on how digital technologies can be a force for good" (Bohnsack et al., 2021). This paper aims to map the frontiers and trends of that debate, drawing on a breadth of recent scholarship to provide an integrated and forward-looking view.

2. Conceptual Framework and Definitions

2.1 Digital Economy

Building on the G20 definition, the digital economy can be conceptualized as an economic system in which digital technologies, platforms, and data generate value across all sectors (Mei et al., 2026). Ciocoiu argued that digital economy and green economy are the two most important subjects on the contemporary environmental policy agenda, with both paradigms having become prominent in the separate worlds of ICT policy and sustainable development, and with their integration creating new opportunities for sustainable development and post-crisis economic recovery (Ciocoiu, 2011).

2.2 Sustainable Development in the Digital Era

Sustainable development, as defined in the Brundtland Report of 1987, seeks to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Linkov, Trump, Poinsatte-Jones, and colleagues observed that policymakers within national governments and international organizations such as the United Nations and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development are increasingly examining the original sustainability concepts through the lens of digitalization (Linkov et al., 2018). Seele and Lock noted that when 193 countries agreed on the 17 Sustainable Development Goals, they signed a challenging agenda aimed at rendering the planet livable for future generations within 15 years, and that "this is where Big Data and Digitalization comes in: Digital technologies in the form of e-health services, robotics, or emission reduction solutions could help individuals, organizations, and nations achieve a more sustainable planet in light of the sustainable development goals" (Seele & Lock, 2017).

2.3 The Dual Character of Digitalization for Sustainability

The relationship between digital technologies and sustainability is neither linear nor unambiguously positive. Bohnsack and colleagues stressed the need to not only reckon with first-order but also second-order consequences, "which might very well derail or amplify initial positive impacts" (Bohnsack et al., 2021). Rosário and Dias documented that while the digital economy presents multiple opportunities—including renewable energies, sustainable technological solutions, smart cities, and sustainable consumption—it also presents challenges such as increasing e-waste, high energy consumption, rising carbon emissions, the digital divide, job insecurity, growing monopolies, and concerns over data protection (Rosário & Dias, 2023).

3. Five-Phase Evolutionary Trajectory of the Field

Bibliometric evidence indicates that the research field has undergone three distinct macro-phases of overall growth—initial stability, gradual acceleration, and a recent surge, peaking over the past two years (Mei et al., 2026). More granularly, the thematic evolution of digital economy research can be traced through five developmental stages (Mei et al., 2026):

Phase	**Period**	**Defining Themes**
Initial stability	1998–2004	Internet infrastructure, emergence of the digital economy concept
Gradual growth	2005–2009	Digital economy, e-commerce, business model innovation
Diversification	2010–2017	Security, privacy, social media, cybersecurity challenges
Technological diversification and deep application	2018–2019	AI, machine learning, platform economy, energy efficiency

Post-pandemic recovery and innovation	2020–2023	Green innovation, digital transformation, environmental governance

3.1 Initial Stability Phase (1998–2004)

The initial phase was dominated by topics such as the internet and the digital economy itself, with research focusing on the construction of internet infrastructure and preliminary exploration of the digital economy as a domain (Mei et al., 2026). As internet technology developed, the digital economy began to attract academic attention as an emerging field.

3.2 Gradual Growth Phase (2005–2009)

This phase underscored themes like digital economy, e-commerce, and innovation, with the rapid development of e-commerce shifting the focus of digital economy research toward business model innovation and the proliferation of online transactions (Mei et al., 2026).

3.3 Diversification Phase (2010–2017)

With increasing global attention toward the digital economy, research began to exhibit a diversification trend, with security, privacy, and social media emerging as prominent subjects (Mei et al., 2026). This phase reflects the penetration of the digital economy into social life, where privacy and security challenges posed by digital technologies became significant concerns that could not be ignored.

3.4 Technological Diversification and Deep Application Phase (2018–2019)

The fourth phase exhibited an exceptionally diverse array of research topics encompassing digitalization, artificial intelligence, live streaming, machine learning, the platform economy, business model innovation, personal data governance, and energy efficiency (Mei et al., 2026).

3.5 Post-Pandemic Recovery and Innovation Phase (2020–2023)

The accelerated growth of the digital economy between 2021 and 2023 was attributed to two primary factors: post-pandemic recovery needs, with the digital economy emerging as a pivotal force for economic recovery, and leading countries globally introducing major policies such as the "2030 Digital Compass" (Mei et al., 2026). Research hotspots shifted toward how digital technology can play a greater role in driving transformation across various social and economic sectors (Mei et al., 2026).

4. Geographic and Collaboration Patterns

The geographic distribution of research is highly uneven. China, the United States, and the United Kingdom lead in research activities, with a strong collaborative relationship observed between China and the United States (Mei et al., 2026). The G20 Digital Economy Development and Cooperation Initiative, released at the 2016 G20 Hangzhou Summit, stimulated academic research output in fields related to the digital economy by promoting investment in and institutional support for it (Mei et al., 2026). The ongoing digital governance discussions within the G20 (Lanshina et al., 2017) underscore the geopolitical significance of these patterns.

Fan, Baležentis, Mbanyele, and others, using data from 281 Chinese prefecture-level cities from 2007 to 2020, demonstrated that smart city initiatives significantly advanced inclusive green growth, with effects being more pronounced in eastern China and in larger cities, while less significant in smaller cities and in central and western regions (Fan et al., 2025). These findings illustrate how digital-economy-driven sustainable development is geographically heterogeneous, even within a single large country.

5. Frontline Research Themes

5.1 Digital Transformation and Sustainability

Yang, Xu, Razzaq, and colleagues, analyzing 30 Chinese provinces, found that the digital economy plays a significant role in driving industrial green transformation through two crucial operational mechanisms: heightened public awareness of environmental issues and the vigorous innovation of green technologies (Yang et al., 2023). The influence is most pronounced in eastern-central China, whereas its impact in western China appears relatively weaker, especially at higher quantiles. Higher spatiotemporal regression coefficients are primarily found in areas south of the Hu line, while

lower values are more common in the northern regions (Yang et al., 2023). These findings provide a roadmap for how the digital economy can be strategically applied to drive industrial green transformation.

5.2 Green Innovation as a Frontier

Luo, Yimamu, Li, and others, using panel data of 278 cities in China from 2011 to 2019, demonstrated that the development of the digital economy can improve green innovation levels in indirect ways, such as by boosting the degree of economic openness, optimizing the industrial structure, and expanding the market potential (Luo et al., 2022). Notably, as economic openness, industrial structure, and market potential advance, the promotion intensity of the digital economy on green innovation declines. The "Broadband China" pilot policy verified that digital economy enhancement can substantially advance urban green innovation (Luo et al., 2022). Cluster analysis of digital economy research reveals that green innovation has emerged as one of the central themes in recent studies, evolving from initial industrial competition through commercial development to the emergence of smart cities (Mei et al., 2026).

Sun, focusing on Chinese city data from 2005 to 2019, identified a positive and significant relationship with a weak inverted U-shaped trend between smart city construction and green innovation (Sun, 2024). The labor factor allocation, venture capital attractiveness, and market accessibility are essential indirect channels between smart city construction and green innovation (Sun, 2024). Zhu and Zhang, leveraging panel data from 293 Chinese prefecture-level cities, similarly demonstrated that smart city pilot policies significantly enhance green innovation levels in treated cities, with effects exhibiting pronounced spatial and resource-based heterogeneity (Zhu & Zhang, 2025).

5.3 Sustainable Smart Cities

Bibri, Alahi, Sharifi, and others noted that the burgeoning urbanization and urban growth exacerbate the wicked problems characterizing cities, "and they may jeopardize the endeavors being undertaken to achieve the long-term goals of environmental sustainability, as they put a high demand on the world's natural resources and ecosystem services" (Bibri et al., 2023). Without the adoption of the applied solutions of the Internet of Things, Big Data, and Artificial Intelligence technologies, it is becoming more challenging for both smart cities and sustainable cities to reach the environmental targets of SDG 11 (Bibri et al., 2023).

5.4 Blockchain, AI, and IoT for Sustainable Supply Chains

Hong and Xiao argued that blockchain and AI represent exciting frontiers in the digital realm, with their evolution profoundly impacting sustainability outcomes depending on the priorities of their design and implementation (Hong & Xiao, 2024). By harnessing the potential of blockchain technology, supply chains can achieve transparency, traceability, and accountability, enabling responsible sourcing and fair-trade practices (Hong & Xiao, 2024). Dua added that these technologies have the potential to incentivize recycling, promote circular business models, facilitate carbon accounting, and support offsetting initiatives, but that it is imperative to implement them within inclusive collaborative frameworks that consider social and ecological factors (Dua, 2025).

Samuels, conducting a systematic review of literature published between 2010 and 2024, found that the integration of AI, Blockchain, and IoT into supply chain management improves operational efficiency, transparency, resilience, and sustainability (Samuels, 2025). Tariq emphasized that these technologies improve straightforwardness, productivity, and responsibility across worldwide store network organizations (Tariq, 2025). Cerchione, Passaro, and Tavano, analyzing 444 peer-reviewed articles (2015–2025) on the sustainable development of freight transport and logistics service providers, found a fragmented yet converging intellectual structure, with blockchain predominant in inter-organizational transparency and coordination, and the integration of AI and IoT applied to intra-organizational optimization and automation (R. et al., 2026).

5.5 Circular Economy and Digital Platforms

Neligan, Baumgartner, Geissdoerfer, and others, based on the empirical analysis of 599 German manufacturing firms and 296 industrial service providers, found that while relatively few German firms rely on new business models to foster their resource efficiency strategy, this share is higher for companies with a strong digital focus in the manufacturing sector, suggesting "that digitalisation can indeed be a driving force for the implementation of circular business models" (Neligan et al., 2022). Blackburn, Ritala, Keränen, and others, conducting a systematic review of 134 articles (2016–2025) on circular economy-oriented platform research, identified six key themes: platform-related consumer behavior, platform strategies, platform-enabled systems, environmental impacts of platforms, platform-based supply chains, and circular business models (Blackburn et al., 2025).

Tsunezawa, Sugiyama, Watanabe, and colleagues, based on a literature review of 64 studies, proposed a typology of digital-platform-enabled circular economy businesses consisting of four patterns: (A) product circulation, (B) virtualized and intensified usage, (C) efficient and effective recycling, and (D) visualization and collaboration (Tsunezawa et al., 2024). Petrik, Hiller, and Morar contributed a taxonomy and archetypes for digital platforms serving the circular economy (Petrik et al., 2025).

5.6 The Environmental Footprint of the Digital Economy

The UNCTAD Digital Economy Report 2024 turns attention to the environmental footprint of digitalization, discussing environmental impacts along the life cycle of digital devices and ICT infrastructure with regard to raw material extraction and processing, manufacturing, distribution, use, and end-of-life phase (Development, 2024). The report underlines the need to maximize the positive impact of digitalization while minimizing the negative impacts on environmental sustainability (Development, 2024).

Wang, Yang, and Yue noted that the Global Enabling Sustainability Initiative predicts that the ICT industry's carbon footprint will reach 1.25 gigatons of carbon emissions by 2030, accounting for 1.97% of global emissions (Wang et al., 2023). Emmenegger and colleagues, conducting a life-cycle assessment of Switzerland's new mobile communication system, highlighted the substantial carbon emissions generated during transmission in mobile networks, while Honée and colleagues, evaluating the carbon footprint of data centers, revealed that more than half of the carbon footprint is attributable to PC devices (Wang et al., 2023).

Appiah-Otoo, Acheampong, Song, and others, applying panel data of 110 countries between 2000 to 2018, found that ICTs improve environmental sustainability in countries with high ICT quality while degrading the environment in countries with moderate and low ICT quality (Appiah-Otoo et al., 2022). The causality analysis showed bi-directional causality between ICT and carbon emissions in countries with high and moderate ICT quality, while there is uni-directional causality running from carbon emissions to ICT in countries with low ICT quality (Appiah-Otoo et al., 2022).

Charfeddine and Umlai, reviewing 166 scientific articles examining 297 associations between ICT/digitization and environmental sustainability from January 2000 to April 2022, found that although most studies reported evidence for ICT/digitization improving environmental sustainability, evidence for a negative association is concentrated in studies on the "Group of" countries (Charfeddine & Umlai, 2023).

6. Social Dimensions: The Digital Divide and Equity

6.1 The Persistence of the Digital Divide

Subramaniam, Masron, Hadiyan, and others noted that digitalization in countries such as Myanmar and Bangladesh is creating jobs and improving local IT industries, while more broadly the UN Secretary-General has stated that digitalization will support and facilitate the efforts to achieve the UN SDGs by 2030 (Subramaniam et al., 2020). Yet O'Sullivan, Clark, Marshall, and MacLachlan observed that "the digital divide is egregious to the ethos and the reality of achieving the SDGs. More than four billion people lack access to the internet in emerging economies" (O'Sullivan et al., 2021). They introduced a justice-oriented "Just Digital" framework, arguing that fair access to digital capabilities, commodities, infrastructure, and governance can reduce global inequality and advance the SDGs (O'Sullivan et al., 2021).

Clark, MacLachlan, Marshall, and others extended this argument by proposing an 18th SDG called "Digital Connection," focused on the equitable distribution of digital wealth guided by four strategic drivers: digital capabilities, technology, infrastructure, and governance (Clark et al., 2022).

6.2 Comparative Country Evidence

Zghidi and Trabelsi, using a panel dataset covering 28 developed and 27 developing countries from 2000 to 2020, found that while digital indicators have varying effects on the Human Development Index, factors like mobile subscriptions, internet users, and broadband access significantly influence human development, with impacts differing by a country's development level (Zghidi & Trabelsi, 2025). These results highlight that digital transformation must be supported by adequate infrastructure, institutional quality, and inclusive policies to effectively contribute to sustainable development in developing countries (Zghidi & Trabelsi, 2025).

7. Governance Frameworks

Linkov and colleagues, reviewing three governance strategies that countries can use in conjunction with adaptive governance to respond to digitalization sustainability threats, identified: (i) a laissez-faire, industry-driven approach; (ii) a precautionary and preemptive strategy on the part of government; and (iii) a stewardship and "active surveillance" approach by government agencies that reduce the risks derived from digitalization while promoting private sector innovation (Linkov et al., 2018).

Tang and Wu argued that "the efficiency of the public sector determines the effectiveness of various policy instruments," and that "the digital economy offers many options for economies to achieve more equitable growth" (Xianbin & Wu, 2021). However, they caution that "policies governing data flow and data-related companies, on the other hand, are still undeveloped and scattered among nations" (Xianbin & Wu, 2021).

Masri and El-Fadel, reviewing 65 peer-reviewed studies on the governance of digital transformation in relation to the SDGs, proposed an evidence-informed framework for sustainable digital transformation governance that integrates inclusivity, adaptability, transparency, and multi-stakeholder collaboration, positioned relative to existing digital and sustainability governance models (Masri & EL-FADEL, 2026).

Lanshina, Guo, and Ding argued that recent decades have seen a rapid digital transformation resulting in important changes, and that digital industries have been among the most dynamic and promising in the global economy in the aftermath of the 2008–2009 crisis (Lanshina et al., 2017).

8. Emerging Trends and Future Research Directions

8.1 Integrated Digital–Green Transitions

Husarova, Tiesheva, Chumak, and others concluded that "sustainable development and digital transformation must progress in tandem, ensuring the sustainability of digitization while advancing the goals of sustainable development" (Гусарова et al., 2025). They identified key patterns of the "digital green economy" as an emerging socio-economic formation and demonstrated that digital transformation serves as a critical enabler of international climate commitments while also strengthening national socio-economic resilience (Гусарова et al., 2025).

8.2 The Integration of Digital Economy with Green Initiatives, Innovation, and Ecosystem Development

Bibliometric evidence consistently points to the prediction that the next research frontier will focus on the integration of the digital economy with green initiatives, innovation, and the development of robust digital economy ecosystems (Mei et al., 2026). Future research should further investigate how the digital economy promotes socio-economic sustainable development under the dual contexts of globalization and technological innovation, with particular emphasis on its role in green development and exploration of deep integration between digital technologies and environmental protection and energy efficiency enhancement (Mei et al., 2026).

Raihan offered a complementary view on the opportunities and challenges of the digital economy for sustainability (Raihan, 2024), while Yao stressed that the digital economy "has emerged as a significant driver of economic growth and innovation in the 21st century" (Yao, 2023), and called for measures such as bridging the digital divide, encouraging the circular and sharing economies, fostering innovation and entrepreneurship in inclusive development, setting up regulatory policies restraining monopolistic power, and paying special attention to rural females, the elderly, and children (Yao, 2023).

8.3 Policy Recommendations

Drawing across the literature, a coherent research and policy agenda emerges:

Bridge the digital divide. Promote access to digital technologies and digital skills in underserved communities to enable inclusive sustainability benefits (O'Sullivan et al., 2021; Yao, 2023).

Develop sustainable business models. Encourage the circular economy and the sharing economy, and promote sustainable consumption patterns through digital platforms (Blackburn et al., 2025; Neligan et al., 2022; Rosário & Dias, 2023; Tsunezawa et al., 2024).

Strengthen governance. Develop policies and regulations that promote resource efficiency, reduce waste and pollution, and encourage renewable energy sources, in alignment with digital-economy governance (Linkov et al., 2018; Masri & EL-FADEL, 2026; Xianbin & Wu, 2021).

Foster inclusive innovation. Drive innovation and entrepreneurship in digital economic development with a focus on inclusive development goals (Yao, 2023).

Address the second-order consequences. Reckon with both first-order and second-order effects of digitalization, including rebound effects, e-waste, energy consumption, and monopoly power (Bohnsack et al., 2021; Rosário & Dias, 2023).

Develop dual-transition frameworks. Couple digital and green transformations in policy design, recognizing their mutually enabling character (Гусарова et al., 2025).

Invest in digital sustainability infrastructure. Build broadband, data centers, and AI capacity in ways that minimize environmental footprint (Appiah-Otoo et al., 2022; Development, 2024).

9. Conclusion

The digital economy has rapidly evolved from a technological curiosity into a foundational paradigm of global economic organization. Over the past 25 years, the research at the intersection of the digital economy and sustainable development has progressed through five distinctive phases—from a focus on infrastructure and internet expansion, through e-commerce and security, into AI, platforms, and energy efficiency, and finally reaching today's emphasis on green innovation and sustainability-oriented digitalization (Mei et al., 2026).

The frontiers of the field are now defined by five intersecting research streams. First, the digital economy is increasingly being recognized as a critical driver of industrial green transformation, with particularly strong effects in regions that combine high digital intensity with developed environmental regulation (Yang et al., 2023). Second, green innovation has become a central theme, with the digital economy boosting green patent activities through mechanisms such as economic openness, industrial structure optimization, and market potential expansion (Luo et al., 2022; Sun, 2024; Zhu & Zhang, 2025). Third, smart cities, AI–IoT–Big Data convergence, and blockchain-enabled transparency are providing the technological substrate for sustainable urbanization (Bibri et al., 2023; Fan et al., 2025). Fourth, circular economy business models are being scaled through digital platforms across product circulation, virtualized usage, recycling efficiency, and visualization-and-collaboration patterns (Blackburn et al., 2025; Neligan et al., 2022; Tsunezawa et al., 2024). Fifth, an equitable digital transition requires that the digital divide be bridged and that frameworks like the "Just Digital" framework and a possible 18th SDG on Digital Connection be actively pursued (Clark et al., 2022; O'Sullivan et al., 2021; Zghidi & Trabelsi, 2025).

Yet, the field's intellectual frontiers are shadowed by serious environmental and social challenges. The carbon footprint of ICT, e-waste, the rebound effects of digitalization, and the persistence of a multi-billion-person digital divide all complicate the otherwise promising narrative of digitalization for sustainability (Appiah-Otoo et al., 2022; Development, 2024; Rosário & Dias, 2023; Wang et al., 2023). Addressing these will require sophisticated, adaptive governance strategies that combine precautionary regulation, stewardship, and multi-stakeholder collaboration (Linkov et al., 2018; Masri & EL-FADEL, 2026; Xianbin & Wu, 2021).

The trends suggest that the next decade of research and policy will be shaped by the integration of digital economy ecosystems with green initiatives, by the emergence of the "digital green economy" as a distinct socio-economic formation, and by the institutionalization of frameworks that align digital expansion with global sustainability goals (Mei et al., 2026; Гусарова et al., 2025). The dual challenge for scholars and policymakers will be to harness the transformative power of digitalization while ensuring that its gains are widely shared and that its environmental costs are systematically minimized.

Acknowledgments: The author acknowledges the use of Jenni as an assistant tool to support language refinement, structural organization, and drafting assistance. All ideas, interpretations, and conclusions presented in this paper are solely those of the author, who takes full responsibility for the content.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Appiah-Otoo, I., Acheampong, A. O., Song, N., & Chen, X. (2022). The impact of information and communication technology (ICT) on carbon dioxide emissions: Evidence from heterogeneous ICT countries. Bond University Research Portal (Bond University), 34(8), 3080–3102. https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305x221118877

2. Bibri, S. E., Alahi, A., Sharifi, A., & Krogstie, J. (2023). Environmentally sustainable smart cities and their converging AI, IoT, and big data technologies and solutions: an integrated approach to an extensive literature review. Energy Informatics, 6(1), 9–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42162-023-00259-2
3. Blackburn, O., Ritala, P., Keränen, J., & Bocken, N. (2025). Circular Economy Platforms: A Systematic Review. Business Strategy and the Environment, 35(2), 2810–2841. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.70307
4. Bohnsack, R., Bidmon, C., & Pinkse, J. (2021). Sustainability in the digital age: Intended and unintended consequences of digital technologies for sustainable development. Business Strategy and the Environment, 31(2), 599–602. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2938
5. Charfeddine, L., & Umlai, M. I. (2023). ICT sector, digitization and environmental sustainability: A systematic review of the literature from 2000 to 2022. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 184, 113482–113482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113482
6. Ciocoiu, C. N. (2011). Integrating Digital Economy and Green Economy: Opportunities for Sustainable Development. Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management, 6(1), 33–43. http://um.ase.ro/no61/3.pdf?origin=publication_detail
7. Clark, S., MacLachlan, M., Marshall, K., Morahan, N., Carroll, C. D., Hand, K., Boyle, N., & O'Sullivan, K. (2022). Including Digital Connection in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals: A Systems Thinking Approach for Achieving the SDGs. Sustainability, 14(3), 1883–1883. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031883
8. Development, U. T. and. (2024). Digital Economy Report 2024. In Digital economy report. United Nations. https://doi.org/10.18356/9789213589779
9. Dua, G. K. (2025). Enhancing Sustainable Development Through Blockchain and Artificial Intelligence. In Advances in business strategy and competitive advantage book series (pp. 157–188). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6987-6.ch006
10. Fan, S., Baležentis, T., Mbanyele, W., & Štreimikienė, D. (2025). Unlocking Informatization's Potential: The Impact of Smart City Initiatives on Inclusive Green Growth. Sustainable Development, 33(4), 6050–6070. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.3456
11. Hong, Z., & Xiao, K. (2024). Digital economy structuring for sustainable development: the role of blockchain and artificial intelligence in improving supply chain and reducing negative environmental impacts. Scientific Reports, 14(1), 3912–3912. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-53760-3
12. Lanshina, T., Shuyong, G., & Ding, W. (2017). Global Governance and the Role of the G20 in the Emerging Digital Economy. International Organisations Research Journal, 12(4), 169–184. https://doi.org/10.17323/1996-7845-2017-04-169
13. Linkov, I., Trump, B. D., Poinsatte-Jones, K., & Florin, M. (2018). Governance Strategies for a Sustainable Digital World. Sustainability, 10(2), 440–440. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020440
14. Luo, S., Yimamu, N., Li, Y., Wu, H., Irfan, M., & Hao, Y. (2022). Digitalization and sustainable development: How could digital economy development improve green innovation in China? Business Strategy and the Environment, 32(4), 1847–1871. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3223
15. Masri, S. G., & EL-FADEL, M. (2026). Governance of digital transformation for sustainable development: aligning digital innovation with the sustainable development goals. Frontiers in Sustainable Cities, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2026.1743552
16. Mei, Y., Liu, M., Gao, Z., & Chen, H. (2026). New insights into the frontiers and trends of sustainable development in the global digital economy: a bibliometric analysis. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-026-06780-5
17. Neligan, A., Baumgartner, R. J., Geissdoerfer, M., & Schöggl, J. (2022). Circular disruption: Digitalisation as a driver of circular economy business models. Business Strategy and the Environment, 32(3), 1175–1188. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3100
18. O'Sullivan, K., Clark, S., Marshall, K., & MacLachlan, M. (2021). A Just Digital framework to ensure equitable achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals. Nature Communications, 12(1), 6345–6345. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26217-8
19. Petrik, D., Hiller, S., & Morar, D. (2025). Digital platforms for circular economy: Empirical development of a taxonomy and archetypes. Electronic Markets, 35(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-025-00792-w
20. R., C., R., P., & M., T. (2026). The Integration of AI , Blockchain and IoT for the Sustainable Development of the Logistics Service Industry: Insights From a PRISMA -Based Analysis. Sustainable Development. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.70745
21. Raihan, A. (2024). A review of the potential opportunities and challenges of the digital economy for sustainability. Innovation and Green Development, 3(4), 100174–100174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2024.100174
22. Rosário, A. T., & Dias, J. C. (2023). The New Digital Economy and Sustainability: Challenges and Opportunities. Sustainability, 15(14), 10902–10902. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151410902
23. Samuels, A. (2025). Digital transformation in supply chains: improving resilience and sustainability through AI, Blockchain, and IoT. Frontiers in Sustainability, 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/frsus.2025.1584580
24. Seele, P., & Lock, I. (2017). The game-changing potential of digitalization for sustainability: possibilities, perils, and pathways. Sustainability Science, 12(2), 183–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-017-0426-4
25. Subramaniam, Y., Masron, T. A., Hadiyan, N., & Hassan, S. H. (2020). The Digital Divide in Developing Countries. International Organisations Research Journal, 15(4), 115–139. https://doi.org/10.17323/1996-7845-2020-04-06

26. Sun, Q. (2024). Smart city and green innovation: Mechanisms and industrial emission reduction effect. Heliyon, 10(9). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e30115
27. Tariq, M. U. (2025). Integrating Digital Technologies to Optimize Sustainable Supply Chains. In IGI Global eBooks (pp. 33–64). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6284-6.ch002
28. Tsunezawa, M., Sugiyama, K., Watanabe, T., Kishita, Y., & Umeda, Y. (2024). Framework for a circular economy business enabled by digital platforms: A review. Procedia CIRP, 122, 783–788. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2024.01.109
29. Wang, H., Yang, G., & Yue, Z. (2023). Breaking through ingrained beliefs: revisiting the impact of the digital economy on carbon emissions. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02126-7
30. Xianbin, T., & Wu, Q. (2021). Sustainable Digital Economy Through Good Governance: Mediating Roles of Social Reforms and Economic Policies. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 773022–773022. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.773022
31. Yang, X., Xu, Y., Razzaq, A., Wu, D., Cao, J., & Ran, Q. (2023). Roadmap to achieving sustainable development: Does digital economy matter in industrial green transformation? Sustainable Development, 32(3), 2583–2599. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2781
32. Yao, S. (2023). Editorial introduction. Digital Economy and Sustainable Development, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44265-023-00001-6
33. Zghidi, N., & Trabelsi, R. (2025). Impact of Digitalization on Sustainable Development: A Comparative Analysis of Developed and Developing Economies. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 18(7), 359–359. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18070359
34. Zhu, D., & Zhang, S. (2025). Reshaping Urban Innovation Landscapes for Green Growth: The Role of Smart City Policies in Digital Transformation. Regional Science and Environmental Economics, 2(3), 16–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/rsee2030016
35. Гусарова, Л., Tiesheva, L., Chumak, O. V., Mytsenko, V., Kovalchuk, N., & Riabchyn, O. (2025). The Impact of Digital Transformation on Perspectives of Sustainable Green Economy Development. Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources, 8(2), 213–235. https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.080211

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of HEIMER MEDIA and/or the editor(s). HEIMER MEDIA and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.